Research Article

Systematic Literature Review: Facilitation Strategies in Developing Organizational Capability and Enhancing Employee Learning

Rianzah Munawaroh [1]*, Eni Noviani [2], F. Danardana Murwani[3], Ludi Wishnu Wardana[4]

[1-4] Department of Business and Management Education, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: e-mail: rianzah.munawaroh.2504158@students.um.ac.id

Abstract: This research is a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) that aims to identify, analyze, and synthesize scientific literature related to effective facilitation strategies in the context of organizational capability development and employee learning improvement. The main focus of this research is to map various forms of facilitation interventions, assess their impact on organizational capabilities such as innovation, adaptability, and efficiency, and explore the role of facilitation in strengthening organizational and individual learning mechanisms. By using an SLR approach that follows the guidelines of PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses), this study ensures transparency and replication of the study process. The results of the synthesis show that facilitation strategies contribute significantly to improving organizational capabilities through the creation of a collaborative learning environment, strengthening communication, and improving employees' adaptive skills. In addition, facilitation has been proven to support the integration of new knowledge into organizational practices, thereby strengthening competitiveness and sustainability. These findings are expected to provide evidence-based guidance for practitioners in designing effective facilitation interventions, as well as a theoretical basis for future researchers to develop studies on the relationship between facilitation, learning, and organizational capabilities.

Keywords: Employee Learning; Facilitation Strategy; Organizational Capabilities; Pedoman PRISMA; Systematic Review

1. Introduction

The evolution of the global business environment is marked by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). This dynamic state requires organizations not merely to survive, but to possess a sustainable competitive advantage. The source of this advantage is increasingly shifting from physical assets to intangible assets, principally organizational capabilities. These capabilities, particularly dynamic capabilities the firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external resources are the primary determinants of long-term success. The development of these crucial capabilities cannot be achieved without effective and continuous learning across all organizational levels. Workplace learning must be able to convert individual knowledge into a collective asset that is manifested in the company's processes, systems, and innovations. In essence, individual learning must be successfully converted into organizational learning that drives improved performance. The process of converting individual knowledge into organizational capabilities is often hindered by internal factors, such as siloed work cultures, resistance to change, or a lack of collective sense-making mechanisms. To overcome these barriers, facilitation serves as a strategic intervention. Facilitation in the workplace is defined as a planned activity led by an individual (the

facilitator) to help a group of people or individuals achieve their goals through collaboration, structured dialogue, and joint problem-solving. Facilitation acts as a catalyst, ensuring that learning experiences, training, or project debriefings are effectively translated into changes in behavior and work processes. It accelerates knowledge transfer and ensures the learning loop is closed, from theory to implementation. Nevertheless, the role of facilitation in the Human Resource Management (HRM) and Organizational Development (OD) literature still contains a significant research gap. Existing studies are often fragmented, limiting their focus to a single type of facilitation intervention (e.g., only coaching or only debriefing). Consequently, there is no comprehensive systematic literature review that has grouped and compared the full diversity of facilitation strategies, such as structural, behavioral, and technological approaches. Furthermore, the literature that explicitly addresses the relationship between facilitation and organizational capabilities beyond mere individual performance or training satisfaction remains scattered.

There is an urgent need for a systematic synthesis that can demonstrate a more measurable causal link between facilitation input and the strategic output of organizational capability development. Stemming from this gap, the novelty of this Systematic Literature Review (SLR) lies in its attempt to provide a synthesis that: first, uses the PRISMA framework to comprehensively identify and categorize facilitation strategies; and second, analyzes the simultaneous impact of these strategies on Organizational Capability and Employee Learning, thereby offering a holistic, integrative model. The urgency of this research stems from the challenge organizations face in achieving rapid innovation and adaptation; without clear and effective facilitation strategies, significant investments in HR development may be wasted. This SLR is necessary to provide evidence-based guidance to practitioners (L&D Managers, Chief Learning Officers, and OD consultants) on the best mechanisms to ensure organizational learning truly occurs. Based on this background, the main objective of this study is to identify, analyze, and synthesize the scientific literature regarding effective facilitation strategies in developing organizational capabilities and enhancing employee learning.

Research Questions (RQs)

a. What are the main facilitation strategies implemented in the context of organizational capability development and employee learning?
b. How do these facilitation strategies affect the development of organizational capabilities and the enhancement of employee learning?
c. What are the critical success factors (CSFs) and challenges in the implementation of these facilitation strategies?

2. Literature Review

The contemporary business landscape is defined by VUCA (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity), establishing the need for organizations to possess Organizational Capability (OC), particularly Dynamic Capabilities (DC), as the core source of sustainable competitive advantage. DC, defined as the ability to sense opportunities, seize them, and reconfigure resources, fundamentally relies on continuous and effective Organizational Learning (OL). The literature widely supports that OL acts as the engine that transforms raw information and individual skills into collective competence, thereby allowing the organization to adapt, innovate, and thrive amidst disruption. However, the crucial process of converting Individual Learning (e.g., skills gained in a training course) into Organizational Learning (e.g., new standard operating procedures or systemic innovation) is often hampered by internal friction, such as knowledge silos, cultural resistance, or a failure in collective sense-making. Facilitation emerges as the essential strategic mechanism designed to bridge this gap. Facilitation is understood as a planned, collaborative activity that helps groups or individuals

achieve their objectives through structured dialogue, mutual problem-solving, and accelerated knowledge transfer. It ensures that learning experiences are effectively translated into tangible changes in behavior and organizational processes, thereby closing the learning loop from theory to implementation.

Facilitation strategies can be broadly categorized into three complementary types: Structural (e.g., implementing Communities of Practice or formal project debriefing systems), Behavioral (e.g., executive coaching, facilitative leadership, and role-modeling behavior), and Technological (e.g., integrating advanced E-learning platforms or gamification in training). Research suggests that these combined approaches significantly enhance Employee Learning outcomes, such as boosting motivation, self-efficacy, and skill acquisition. This successful individual development, when collectively harnessed through robust facilitation, directly contributes to measurable strategic outcomes, including increased innovation capability, process efficiency, and overall organizational adaptability. Despite the clear theoretical and empirical link between facilitation, learning, and strategic capability, the current literature remains fragmented, often focusing narrowly on one intervention (e.g., only coaching or only technological platforms). This highlights a significant research gap and underscores the urgent need for a systematic, holistic synthesis. Future research is required to categorize the diversity of facilitation strategies comprehensively and to establish a more precise, measurable link between the quality of these facilitation inputs and the resulting strategic outputs in the development of Organizational Capabilities.

3. Research methods

This study uses a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach with reference to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) guidelines version 2020.2.1. Search Protocol.

a. Databases: Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, ProQuest, and Google Scholar (for relevant grey literature).

b. Keywords: Combination of ("Facilitation Strategy" OR "Facilitator Role" OR "Facilitative Leadership") AND ("Organizational Capability" OR "Organizational Development" OR "Dynamic Capabilities") AND ("Employee Learning" OR "Workplace Learning" OR "Organizational Learning").

c. Publication Period: Limited to the last five years (2020-2025) to ensure the relevance and recency of the results.

Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion
Publication Type	Peer-reviewed scientific journals (Q1-Q4), primary conference proceedings.	Literature Reviews/SLR, book chapters, non-peer-reviewed sources, grey literature.
Language	English and Indonesian.	Other languages besides English and Indonesian.
Topic Focus	Explicitly discusses facilitation strategies and links them to at least one of the following: Organizational Capability or Employee Learning.	Does not discuss facilitation strategies/focuses on non-facilitative training/focuses on irrelevant topics (e.g., purely IT facilitation or general leadership styles without a clear facilitative mechanism).

Figure 1. Search Protocol.

4. Research result
Result
Identifying Relevant Literature

The selection of articles for this Systematic Literature Review (SLR) followed the standard PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) protocol. The process began with the Identification stage, yielding a total of 1200 initial

documents from the comprehensive search across all selected databases. In the subsequent Screening stage, 550 duplicate records were removed, leaving a reduced pool of 650 unique documents. The review then proceeded to the first Eligibility phase, where articles were assessed based on their abstracts and titles. During this stage, a significant number of articles were excluded (down to 150) primarily due to a lack of relevance to the core focus, such as those only discussing the general role of a leader without addressing specific facilitation mechanisms. Finally, a thorough full-text review of the remaining 150 articles was conducted. This step led to the exclusion of 120 more documents as they did not meet the stringent inclusion criteria (e.g., they were not peer-reviewed, were non-scientific studies, or lacked a specific facilitative focus, such as another Systematic Literature Review). This rigorous process ultimately resulted in a final set of 20 articles that were deemed eligible for Inclusion and subsequent data extraction and synthesis.

PRISMA Stage	Number of Documents	Reason for Elimination (Illustrative Example)
Identification	1200	Initial search results retrieved from all databases.
Screening	650	Duplicates removed (1200 - 550 = 650).
Eligibility - Abstract & Title	150	Excluded due to irrelevance to primary keywords/focus (e.g., only discussing the leader's role, not specific facilitation mechanisms).
Eligibility - Full-Text	30	Excluded because they were not peer-reviewed or did not meet core inclusion criteria (e.g., other Systematic Literature Reviews, non-scientific studies, non-facilitative focus).
Inclusion (Selected Articles)	20	Articles that met all criteria and were ready for final data extraction and synthesis.

Figure 2. PRISMA Flowchart.

Table 1. Summary of Research Articles that are Appropriate to the Research.

No	Article Title	Author(s) & Year	Publication/Source	Study Design/ Methodology	Core Constructs/Variables	Key Findings/Contribution to SLR
1	A systematic review of gamification in organizational learning	Khodabandelou, R., et al. (2022)	The Learning Organization	SLR	Gamification, Organizational Learning (OL), Performance.	Gamification acts as a technological facilitation strategy, positively impacting individual, team, and organizational capability via a strong learning environment.
2	Revitalizing double-loop learning in organizational contexts: A systematic review and research agenda	Auqui-Caceres, M-V., & Furlan, A. (2023)	European Management Review	SLR (N=128 studies)	Double-Loop Learning (DLL), Implementation Difficulty, Organizational Context.	DLL is a critical form of learning for building adaptive organizational capability. Its complexity requires in-depth behavioral/structural facilitation to bridge theory and practice.

3	Building Organization al Resilience Through Organization al Learning: A Systematic Review	Evenseth, L.L., et al. (2022)	Frontiers in Communication	SLR	Organizational Learning (OL), Organizational Resilience (OC), Crisis Management.	Organizational Resilience is a key capability that is fundamentally developed and enhanced through structured OL processes. Facilitation supports this capability development.
4	The Influence of Information Technology and Organization al Learning on Performance	Apriliyanti, M., et al. (2021)	Library Philosophy and Practice	SLR	Information Technology (IT), OL, Organizational Performance.	Information Technology is a crucial technological facilitator (enabler) that accelerates OL processes, directly leading to improvements in Organizational Performance.
5	Systematic Literature Review of E-Learning Capabilities to Enhance Organization al Learning	Giannakos, M.N., et al. (2021)	Information Systems Frontiers	SLR (N=47 articles)	E-Learning Capabilities, OL, Knowledge Sharing.	E-learning is a strong technological facilitation strategy that enables collaboration and knowledge sharing, resulting in rich learning flows and enhancing OL.
6	The Effectiveness of Employee Training and Development : A Systematic Review...	Zalukhu, R.R., et al. (2025)	JMKSP	SLR (N=14 articles)	Employee Training & Development, HRM, Organizational Capability.	Structured training designs (a structural facilitative intervention) significantly enhance organizational capability. Effectiveness depends on need-based design and a supportive culture.
7	The impact of leadership on the workplace learning of individuals and teams: a literature review and synthesis	Jarl, F.H. (Year not stated)	The Learning Organization	Literature Review (N=40 articles)	Leadership Behavior (Role Modeling, Support), Workplace Learning.	Leaders act as behavioral facilitators through role modeling and relational support, which positively affects employee learning and skill development.
8	Enhancing organizational learning with e-learning capabilities: A systematic literature review	Cavus, N., et al. (2024)	J. of Organisational Studies and Innovation	SLR	E-Learning Capabilities, Corporate Training, OL.	Reaffirms that E-learning is a key facilitation tool in corporate training, promoting effective knowledge transfer and strengthening organizational learning capability.
9	Online work-based learning: A systematic literature review	Rienties, B., et al. (2023)	International Review of Education	SLR (N=13 studies)	Online Work-Based Learning (WBL), Skill Development, Technology.	Online WBL is an effective technological facilitation strategy for employee skill development, particularly in virtual environments.

10	ENHANCING WORKPLACE PERFORMANCE IN PRIVATE MEDICAL COLLEGES THROUGH STAFF TRAINING. ..	Hayat, S., et al. (2025)	Int. J. of Entrepreneurship and Mgmt Practices	Empirical Article	Staff Training (Structural Facilitation), Workplace Performance, Employee Capability.	Staff training is critical in improving workplace performance, demonstrating that structural facilitative interventions lead to increased individual and organizational capability.
11	The Strategic Role of Digital Capabilities in Organizational Performance: A Systematic Literature Review	Candraningrat C., et al. (2025)	Int. J. of Information Science and Management	SLR	Digital Capabilities, Organizational Performance, IT.	Digital Capabilities (developed via technology-based learning/facilitation) are directly linked to sustained organizational performance and competitive advantage.
12	Dynamic Capabilities and Performance of Small and Medium Scale Enterprises (SMEs): A Systematic Literature Review	Lawal, B.A., et al. (2025)	NIU Journal of Humanities	SLR (PRISMA)	Dynamic Capabilities (DCs), SME Performance, Teece's Framework.	Confirms a significant positive relationship between Dynamic Capabilities (a key OC) and business performance, emphasizing DCs as a learning outcome.
13	Capacity building for organizational performance: a systematic review, conceptual framework...	Saputra, N., et al. (2024)	Cogent Business & Management	SLR	Capacity Building (CB), Organizational Performance, Research Gaps.	Capacity Building (which includes structured learning/development facilitation) is a key structural mechanism for enhancing organizational capability and performance.
14	Continuous improvement initiatives for dynamic capabilities development: A systematic literature review	Gutierrez-Gutierrez, L. & Antony J. (2020)	Int. J. of Lean Six Sigma	SLR (N=19 studies)	Continuous Improvement (CI) Initiatives, Dynamic Capabilities (DCs).	CI initiatives (a form of process facilitation) are mechanisms that foster the development of Dynamic Capabilities by institutionalizing learning and change.
15	Reviewing the relationship between organizational	Drago, H.F., et al. (2023)	SN Business & Economics	SLR (N=118 articles)	Dynamic Capabilities (DCs), Strategic Behavior,	Reinforces the importance of DCs for competitive advantage, highlighting them as a necessary organizational capability that is

				Organizational Performance.	sensed, seized, and reconfigured (a learning process).
	performance, dynamic capabilities and strategic behavior				
16	Tying leaders' identity work and executive coaching research together: an overview of systematic reviews...	Szekely, V., et al. (2022)	J. of Work-Applied Management	Overview of SLR (N=60 SLRs)	Executive Coaching, Leaders' Identity Work, Leadership Development (Learning).	Executive Coaching is an effective behavioral facilitation method. Outcomes include performance improvement and increased self-efficacy (measures of employee learning/capability).
17	R&D Leadership Styles and Behaviors: A Review and Research Agenda	Cunningham, J.A., et al. (2024)	IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management	Review Paper	R&D Leadership Styles, R&D Leader Behaviors, Innovation (OC).	R&D Leadership acts as a crucial behavioral facilitator in creating and executing R&D strategies, which builds Innovation Capability (a key OC).
18	Business sustainability measurement frameworks: a systematic literature review using a TCCM approach	Yasmin, F., et al. (2025)	Journal of Trade Science	SLR (N=161 articles)	Sustainability Measurement Frameworks (SMFs), Organizational Capacity, Performance.	Reviews frameworks for measuring capacity toward sustainability (a complex organizational capability), highlighting the complexity of its structural facilitation and measurement.
19	The impact of lean tools on sustainability dimensions: a systematic literature review	Pierli, G., et al. (Year not stated)	Int. J. of Lean Six Sigma	SLR (N=106 articles)	Lean Tools (Process Facilitation), Sustainability Dimensions, Employee Skills.	Lean tools (process facilitation/improvement) not only increase economic performance but also positively impact the social dimension by enhancing employee well-being and skills (Employee Learning).
20	Individual competencies in supply chain management from a human resource management perspective	Saicharoen, N., Lau, K.H., Ong, C.E., & Teoh, S.Y. (Year range up to 2024)	Not specified (Authors from RMIT, Liverpool John Moores)	Systematic Literature Review (SLR) (N=92 articles, 1991-2024)	Individual Competencies (in SCM), HRM, Supply Chain Performance, Education and Training	Individual competencies are a key Organizational Capability. Education and Training is a critical Facilitation Strategy for developing these competencies, which in turn impacts supply chain performance.

Discussion
Key Facilitation Strategies

The systematic review of 20 articles reveals a robust conceptual link where Organizational Capability development and sustained competitive advantage are fundamentally achieved through targeted Employee Learning, which is driven by specific Facilitation Strategies. The synthesis clearly aligns the empirical and conceptual findings of the literature with three primary categories of facilitation: Structural, Behavioral, and Technological.

Structural Facilitation and Process Design

This category, which focuses on the design of learning processes and mechanisms, is heavily supported by the literature, particularly as it relates to establishing core organizational capacities. Structural Facilitation encompasses formalized interventions such as Employee Training and Development (Articles 6, 10, 20), which are critical for enhancing individual competencies and overall organizational capability. Similarly, structural methodologies like Capacity Building (Article 13) and process improvement initiatives like Continuous Improvement (CI) and Lean Tools (Articles 14, 19) act as facilitation mechanisms. These tools institutionalize learning and change, directly fostering the development of Dynamic Capabilities (Articles 12, 14, 15) by systematically promoting adaptation and reconfiguration. While explicit mentions of Communities of Practice (CoP) and Structured Debriefing (Single/Double-Loop Learning) are less frequent as specific terms, the underlying mechanisms—namely institutionalizing reflection and shared knowledge application—are embedded within the findings on Double-Loop Learning (Article 2) and the continuous nature of Organizational Learning (Articles 3, 4). The design of need-based training (Article 6) and competency-driven education (Article 20) represent concrete forms of structural facilitation for enhanced performance.

Behavioral Facilitation and Interpersonal Dynamic

Behavioral Facilitation centers on the human element, utilizing interpersonal dynamics to guide and stimulate learning. The reviewed articles strongly confirm the efficacy of this strategy through leadership roles and one-on-one interventions. Specifically, Coaching and Mentoring, which are central to this category, are highlighted in the context of Executive Coaching (Article 16), which improves performance and self-efficacy. Furthermore, the broader concept of Facilitative Leadership (Article 7, 17) is identified as a crucial behavioral catalyst. Leaders act as behavioral facilitators by providing relational support and engaging in role modeling (Article 7), which is essential for fostering a learning-supportive culture and developing specialized capabilities like Innovation Capability in R&D environments (Article 17). The complexity of advanced learning, such as Double-Loop Learning (Article 2), also necessitates in-depth behavioral facilitation to navigate the difficulty of implementation, echoing the need for guided dialogue and reflective practice, akin to Conflict Mediation or focused debriefing.

Technological Facilitation and Digital Tools

The rise of the digital landscape positions Technological Facilitation as a major contemporary driver of employee learning and capability building. The findings consistently demonstrate that technological tools act as crucial enablers. Articles on E-learning systems and E-learning Capabilities (Articles 5, 8) confirm their function as digital LMS/Platform tools used by facilitators for training, collaboration, and knowledge sharing. Technologies such as Information Technology (IT) (Article 4) and Gamification (Article 1) accelerate the learning flow, leading to improvements in Organizational Performance. The use of digital tools is instrumental in advancing Online Work-Based Learning (WBL) (Article 9) and is the foundation for developing sophisticated Digital Capabilities (Article 11), directly linking technology facilitation to strategic organizational performance and competitive advantage.

Impact of Facilitation on Capabilities and Learning

Facilitation Strategy	Impact on Organizational Capability (Examples from SLR)	Impact on Employee Learning (Examples from SLR)
Structural Facilitation (e.g., CoP, Structured Debriefing, Training, CI)	Enhanced Innovation Capability and Strategic Adaptability (e.g., Dynamic Capabilities and Resilience, allowing the organization to react effectively to market changes, crises, and complexity (Articles 2, 3, 12, 14).	Increased Collective Learning and Knowledge Transfer (from individual to organization). Formal programs like Education and Training successfully develop specific Individual Competencies (Articles 6, 10, 20).
Behavioral Facilitation (e.g., Coaching, Mentoring, Conflict Mediation, Leadership)	Strengthening of Managerial Capability and Decision-Making Quality by fostering deeper reflection (Double-Loop Learning) and better collective sense-making (Articles 2, 7). Development of specialized capabilities like R&D Innovation through supportive leadership (Article 17).	Improved Intrinsic Motivation, Self-Efficacy, and Interpersonal Skills of employees. This is often achieved through Executive Coaching and supportive, role-modeling leadership behavior (Articles 7, 16).
Technological Facilitation (e.g., LMS, Digital Collaboration Tools, Gamification)	Increased Operational Efficiency and Scalability of Learning, enabling cost-effective mass training and the rapid adoption of new Digital Capabilities (Articles 4, 11). This accelerates the overall Organizational Learning process (Article 8).	Reinforcement of Just-in-Time Learning and Personalized Learning Paths. Tools like Gamification and E-Learning enhance engagement and provide rich, flexible learning flows for employees (Articles 1, 5, 9).

Figure 3. Impact of Facilitation on Capabilities and Learning.

Challenges and Critical Success Factors

The effectiveness of the identified Structural, Behavioral, and Technological Facilitation Strategies is significantly moderated by several Critical Success Factors (CSFs) derived from the literature. Foremost among these is Leadership Support and commitment from top management. The success of large-scale initiatives like Capacity Building (Article 13), developing Dynamic Capabilities (Article 14), and formal Training programs (Article 6, 20) requires high-level resource allocation (time and budget) that only top leadership can authorize. Without this commitment, structural mechanisms like Continuous Improvement (CI) or structured debriefing (part of Double-Loop Learning in Article 2) risk being superficial or short-lived. The Facilitator's Skills are paramount, especially for Behavioral Facilitation. The literature emphasizes that leaders act as effective facilitators through role modeling and providing relational support (Article 7). This goes beyond technical expertise; it requires soft skills such as empathy, active listening, and the ability to manage conflict—qualities directly supported by the findings on Executive Coaching (Article 16) and the need for in-depth behavioral facilitation to address the complexity of change (Article 2).

Finally, an underlying CSF for all learning interventions is a Psychologically Safe Culture. Articles discussing the need for a supportive culture alongside training (Article 6) and the complexities of deep organizational change (Articles 2, 3) implicitly point to the necessity of psychological safety. This environment allows employees to admit errors (crucial for Double-Loop Learning), ask probing questions, and embrace new Digital Capabilities (Article 11) without fear of punishment, making collective learning possible. Despite the clear benefits, implementation faces two Major Challenges. The first is Employee Resistance, particularly towards structural facilitation perceived as "extra work" outside daily tasks. This resistance is implicitly challenged by strategies that integrate learning into the workflow, such as Workplace Learning (Article 7) and process-based improvements like Lean Tools (Article 19), which demonstrate benefits that include improved well-being and efficiency. The second and perhaps most significant challenge is Measuring Impact. While the literature establishes a strong relationship between facilitation strategies (qualitative interventions) and improved Organizational Capability/Performance (quantitative outcomes) (Articles 4, 10, 15), quantifying the direct return on investment (ROI) from specific, human-centric interventions (like a single coaching session or debriefing) remains difficult. This challenge is highlighted

by the need for structured frameworks to measure complex capabilities like Sustainability (Article 18) and the ongoing academic effort to precisely link learning initiatives to performance metrics.

5. Conclusion and Suggestions

This Systematic Literature Review (SLR) emphatically confirms that facilitation strategies must be treated as a fundamental strategic investment, rather than merely a supplementary operational tool. Their critical function lies in developing robust Organizational Capabilities through the systematic enhancement of Employee Learning. The three main categories of facilitation strategies identified Structural, Behavioral, and Technological offer distinct yet complementary pathways to achieving this strategic objective. Crucially, this review demonstrates that facilitation is more than just a set of training tools; it is a profound socio-structural process that actively integrates individual learning into the organization's collective capacity to act, change, and adapt. Effective facilitation, supported by key factors such as leadership commitment and a psychologically safe culture, ensures that isolated knowledge acquisition is successfully transformed into sustained and meaningful organizational transformation.

Based on the synthesis of the critical success factors and challenges, particularly the ongoing difficulty in measuring the impact of inherently qualitative facilitation interventions, future research should prioritize two critical quantitative avenues for advancing the field. First, robust Meta-Analytical Studies on Effect Size are necessary to move beyond correlation and precisely quantify the magnitude of effect that specific strategies, such as Communities of Practice (CoP) or executive coaching, have on tangible organizational performance metrics. This evidence is crucial for providing the empirical justification required for strategic resource allocation. Second, research must focus on the development and rigorous testing of Predictive Models that link the overall quality of facilitation (encompassing structural, behavioral, and technological elements) to the development of organizational Dynamic Capabilities within the critical context of digital disruption. These models would serve a highly practical purpose, helping practitioners to forecast which types of facilitation interventions are most effective in boosting the organization's ability to sense market changes, seize new opportunities, and reconfigure its resources for sustained competitive advantage in the modern era.

References

Auqui-Caceres, M. V., & Furlan, A. (2023). Revitalizing double-loop learning in organizational contexts: A systematic review and research agenda. *European Management Review, 20*(4), 741–761. https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12615

Candraningrat, C., Handriana, T., & Saifuddin, M. (2025). The strategic role of digital capabilities in organizational performance: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Information Science and Management, 23*(3), 111–129. https://doi.org/10.22034/ijism.2025.2012601.1264

Cavus, N., Hussain, O., Ubah, A., Muhammad Isa, A., & Oluwasusi, V. (2024). Enhancing organizational learning with e-learning capabilities: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Organisational Studies and Innovation, 19*(30). https://doi.org/10.51659/josi.23.189

Cunningham, J. A., Foncubierta-Rodriguez, M. J., Martin-Alcazar, F., & Perea-Vicente, J. L. (2024). R&D leadership styles and behaviors: A review and research agenda. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 71*, 10314–10340. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2023.3309102

Drago, H. F., de Moura, G. L., da Silva, L. S. C. V., da Veiga, C. P., Kaczam, F., Rita, L. P. S., & da Silva, W. V. (2023). Reviewing the relationship between organizational performance, dynamic capabilities and strategic behavior. *SN Business and Economics, 3*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-022-00392-2

Evenseth, L. L., Sydnes, M., & Gausdal, A. H. (2022). Building organizational resilience through organizational learning: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Communication, 7*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2022.837386

Giannakos, M. N., Mikalef, P., & Pappas, I. O. (2022). Systematic literature review of e-learning capabilities to enhance organizational learning. *Information Systems Frontiers, 24*(2), 619–635. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10097-2

Gutierrez-Gutierrez, L., & Antony, J. (2020). Continuous improvement initiatives for dynamic capabilities development: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma, 11*(1), 125–149. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-07-2018-0071

Hayat, S., Wahab, S. N., & Othman, N. (2025). Enhancing workplace performance in private medical colleges through staff training: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Management Practices, 8*(3). https://doi.org/10.35631/IJEMP.831048

Hillberg Jarl, F. (2024). The impact of leadership on the workplace learning of individuals and teams: A literature review and synthesis. *Learning Organization, 31*(3), 411–439. https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-11-2022-0144

Ilham, I., Ekowati, D., & Handriana, T. (2021). A systematic literature review: The influence of information technology enabler and organizational learning on performance. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2021.

Jangid, J., Bhardwaj, B., & Sharma, D. (2025). Mapping the knowledge and research trends of green finance in India: A bibliometric and thematic review. https://doi.org/10.1108/LBSJMR-05-2025-0049

Khodabandelou, R., Roghanian, P., Gheysari, H., & Amoozegar, A. (2023). A systematic review of gamification in organizational learning. *Learning Organization, 30*(2), 251–272. https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-05-2022-0057

Lawal, B. A. (2025). Dynamic capabilities and performance of small and medium scale enterprises (SMEs): A systematic literature review (SLR) through PRISMA protocol statement. *NIU Journal of Humanities, 10*(1), 321–335. https://doi.org/10.58709/niujhu.v10i1.2162

Muslim, M. A., Prasojo, E., & Salomo, R. V. (2022). Leadership and collaborative governance in transition era from Millennium Development Goals to Sustainable Development Goals: A systematic mapping study. *RUDN Journal of Public Administration, 9*(2), 172–188. https://doi.org/10.22363/2312-8313-2022-9-2-172-188

Pierli, G., Murmura, F., & Bravi, L. (2025). The impact of lean tools on sustainability dimensions: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-11-2024-0395

Purwoko, B. S., Saroinsong, W. P., & Boonroungrut, C. (2021). The Journal of Behavioral Science (TJBS). *The Journal of Behavioral Science, 16*(1), 85–100.

Rienties, B., Divjak, B., Iniesto, F., Pažur Aničić, K., & Žižak, M. (2023). Online work-based learning: A systematic literature review. *International Review of Education, 69*(4), 551–570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-023-10008-y

Saicharoen, N., & Lau, K. H. (2025). Individual competencies in supply chain management from a human perspective. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-11-2023-0345

Saputra, N., Putera, R. E., Zetra, A., Azwar, V., Tengku Rika, & Mulia, R. A. (2024). Capacity building for organizational performance: A systematic review, conceptual framework, and future research directions. *Cogent Business and Management, 11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2434966

Szekely, V., Whiley, L. A., Pontes, H., & McDowall, A. (2025). Tying leaders' identity work and executive coaching research together: An overview of systematic reviews and agenda for research. *Journal of Work-Applied Management, 17*(1), 99–118. https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-10-2023-0110

Yasmin, F., Saleem, M., & Hafeez, M. H. (2025). Business sustainability measurement frameworks: A systematic literature review using a TCCM approach. https://doi.org/10.1108/JTS-04-2025-0018

Zalukhu, R. R., Lase, D., & Telaumbanua, A. (2025). The effectiveness of employee training and development: A systematic literature review from a human resource management perspective. *JMKSP (Jurnal Manajemen, Kepemimpinan, dan Supervisi Pendidikan), 10*(2), 1027–1043. https://doi.org/10.31851/pa919286