
The Influence of Leadership Styles on Employee Motivation and Organizational Performance in the Service Sector

Budi Santoso¹, Agus Hidayat², Tuti Andriani³

¹⁻³ Universitas Udayana, Indonesia

Abstract: *This study investigates how different leadership styles, such as transformational and transactional, affect employee motivation and organizational performance within the service sector. Through a survey of service-based organizations, the research highlights the relationship between leadership approach, employee satisfaction, and overall productivity. Findings suggest that transformational leadership significantly enhances motivation and performance, offering a strategic advantage in a competitive market.*

Keywords: *Leadership styles, employee motivation, organizational performance, service sector, transformational leadership, employee satisfaction*

1. INTRODUCTION

In the service sector, where employee interactions with clients often define the quality of service, leadership styles play a pivotal role in shaping employee motivation and organizational performance. Leadership style influences not only the productivity and efficiency of the workforce but also the overall morale and satisfaction of employees, which ultimately contributes to the company's success. Service-based organizations are highly dependent on the motivation levels of their employees, as motivated employees are more likely to go the extra mile in customer service, fostering customer satisfaction and loyalty.

This article aims to explore the influence of different leadership styles—particularly transformational and transactional leadership—on employee motivation and organizational performance. While transformational leaders inspire employees through vision and encouragement, transactional leaders rely on structured tasks and reward systems to drive employee performance. The study examines which style better supports employee motivation and contributes to higher organizational performance within the service sector.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Leadership Styles

Leadership styles in organizational studies have long been classified into various models, with transformational and transactional leadership styles being among the most examined. Transformational leadership is characterized by the leader's ability to inspire and motivate employees to exceed expectations through a shared vision, which fosters an environment of innovation and commitment (Bass, 1985). Studies suggest that transformational leaders create a sense of belonging and purpose, leading to higher levels of employee motivation and job satisfaction (Burns, 1978; Judge & Piccolo, 2004).

Transactional leadership, on the other hand, is based on structured goals and rewards. Leaders in this style motivate employees by providing clear instructions, setting expectations, and offering rewards for meeting objectives. This approach is effective in task-oriented environments where clear guidelines are essential (Bass & Avolio, 1994). However, it has been criticized for not fostering creativity and for its limited impact on employee engagement and intrinsic motivation (Robbins & Judge, 2017).

Employee Motivation and Organizational Performance

Employee motivation significantly impacts organizational performance, particularly in the service industry, where employee engagement is directly linked to customer satisfaction and loyalty. High employee motivation enhances productivity, reduces turnover, and increases customer satisfaction (Herzberg, 1966). Motivation theories, such as Herzberg's two-factor theory and Maslow's hierarchy of needs, emphasize the importance of fulfilling employees' intrinsic and extrinsic needs to maintain high levels of engagement (Maslow, 1943).

In the context of leadership, studies have shown that transformational leadership positively affects motivation by promoting trust, encouraging personal growth, and supporting autonomy (Gagné & Deci, 2005). Transactional leadership, though often associated with extrinsic motivation, can also drive performance, especially in routine and task-driven roles.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research used a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data to assess the impact of leadership styles on employee motivation and organizational performance in service-based organizations across Indonesia. The sample consisted of employees and managers from 15 service organizations, including hospitality, finance, and healthcare.

Data Collection

- a. Surveys: A structured survey was administered to 200 employees to gauge their perceptions of leadership styles, motivation levels, and organizational performance.
- b. Interviews: In-depth interviews were conducted with 15 managers to gain insights into the leadership practices they employ and how they perceive these practices to affect employee motivation and performance.

- c. Performance Data: Organizational performance data, including customer satisfaction scores and employee turnover rates, were collected for a two-year period to assess correlations with leadership style and motivation.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using regression analysis to explore relationships between leadership styles, employee motivation, and organizational performance metrics. Qualitative data from interviews were coded and analyzed thematically to provide context and insights into the quantitative findings.

4. RESULTS

Quantitative Findings

- a. Impact of Transformational Leadership: The results showed a strong positive correlation between transformational leadership and employee motivation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$). Employees who perceived their leaders as transformational reported higher job satisfaction, commitment, and willingness to take on additional responsibilities.
- b. Impact of Transactional Leadership: Transactional leadership showed a moderate positive correlation with motivation ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.05$), but this relationship was particularly pronounced in task-oriented roles. Employees in such roles indicated that clear expectations and rewards positively influenced their motivation.
- c. Organizational Performance: Organizational performance metrics, including customer satisfaction and employee turnover, were significantly higher in organizations led by transformational leaders. Firms with predominantly transformational leadership styles experienced lower turnover rates and higher customer satisfaction.

Qualitative Findings

From the interviews, managers noted that transformational leadership facilitated open communication, creativity, and trust among employees. Employees in organizations with transformational leaders expressed feeling valued and motivated to contribute to organizational goals. Conversely, managers employing transactional leadership indicated that while this style effectively maintained productivity, it was less effective in promoting long-term engagement and employee innovation.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that leadership style plays a crucial role in influencing both employee motivation and organizational performance in the service sector. Transformational leadership was found to be more effective in fostering high employee motivation and better organizational performance, aligning with previous studies that highlight its positive impact on intrinsic motivation (Judge & Piccolo, 2004). By emphasizing shared vision, encouragement, and empowerment, transformational leaders create a workplace culture where employees are more likely to be motivated, innovative, and committed to organizational success.

Transactional leadership, while effective in task-oriented roles, may not yield the same level of engagement or performance benefits as transformational leadership. This approach is beneficial for short-term tasks requiring specific outcomes and clear directives, but it may not encourage the flexibility and customer-centric focus required in the service industry (Robbins & Judge, 2017). Organizations may benefit from adopting a hybrid leadership approach that incorporates both transformational and transactional elements to address diverse job roles and performance needs.

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that transformational leadership has a more substantial impact on employee motivation and organizational performance within the service sector compared to transactional leadership. Transformational leaders create an environment of trust, open communication, and shared vision that enhances employee engagement and satisfaction, which are essential for sustaining competitive advantage in the service industry. Transactional leadership, while useful in specific roles, is less effective for achieving long-term employee commitment and performance improvements.

For service-based organizations seeking to enhance performance, adopting transformational leadership practices could lead to higher employee motivation, lower turnover rates, and improved customer satisfaction. Future research could explore the effects of leadership styles on specific performance outcomes, such as customer retention and brand loyalty, providing further insights into effective leadership strategies in the service sector.

REFERENCES

- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and Performance beyond Expectations*. Free Press.
- Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership*. Sage Publications.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- Burns, J. M. (2003). *Transforming Leadership*. Atlantic Monthly Press.
- Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 331–362.
- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250–279.
- Herzberg, F. (1966). *Work and the Nature of Man*. World Publishing.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The Motivation to Work*. Wiley.
- Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396.
- Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and Practice*. Sage Publications.
- Podsakoff, P. M., et al. (1990). Transformational leader behaviors and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Leadership Quarterly*, 1(2), 107–142.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2017). *Organizational Behavior*. Pearson.
- Spreitzer, G. M., & Porath, C. (2012). Creating sustainable performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 90(1/2)
- Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in Organizations*. Pearson.